



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/871,241	05/30/2001	Philip D. Lapsley	8514-50	8211
60460 7590 05/05/2009 MARGER JOHNSON & MCCOLLOM, P.C. - You Technologies 210 SW MORRISON STREET SUITE 400 PORTLAND, OR 97204				
			EXAMINER MALHOTRA, SANJEEV	
			ART UNIT 3694	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 05/05/2009	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

**Application No.**

09/871,241

**Applicant(s)**

LAPSLEY ET AL.

**Examiner**

SANJEEV MALHOTRA

**Art Unit**

3694

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) SANJEEV MALHOTRA, Patent Examiner w/US PTO. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Atty. Alexander C. Johnson, Regn. No. 29396. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 27 April 2009(Mon).

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: NO.

Identification of prior art discussed: NO.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Atty. Alex Johnson wanted a clarification that if neither box 1 nor box 2 could be checked off on the four IDS submission forms dated March 9, 2009, because Atty. Johnson explained that the delay in filing these IDSs was due to reorganization of the assignee. Examiner advised that Atty. may wish to state that in a supplemental communication to the Office. No agreement was reached with respect to the Claims.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Sanjeev Malhotra/  
04 MAY 2009